An Adaptive Block Backstepping Controller for Attitude Stabilization of a Quadrotor Helicopter

Hongtao Zhen, Xiaohui Qi, Hairui Dong
Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Engineering Department
Mechanical Engineering College
Shijiazhuang, Hebei, 050003
China

o

:-A new block backstepping controller is proposed to solve the attitude stabilization problem for a quadrotor helicopter. The attitude kinematical model is obtained and translated into a MIMO nonlinear system with generalized uncertainties. Under the consideration of the coupling between the attitude angles, a nominal block backstepping controller is designed. The obtained controller is then augmented by a robust adaptive function to approximate the modeling errors and external disturbance. A nonlinear tracking-differentiator is applied to reduce computer explosion which is a ubiquitous problem in backstepping controllers. The closed-loop system is proved to be stable and exponential convergent through constructing appropriate Lyapunov function. Simulation results in the presence of external momentary disturbances and parametric uncertainties are presented to corroborate the effectiveness and the robustness of the proposed strategy.

Key-Words: - Quadrotor; Block Backstepping; Robust function; Adaptive control; tracking-differentiator

1 Introduction

Quadrotor helicopter is a kind of vertical take- off and landing (VTOL) multi-rotor unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV). In the last few years, the automatic flight control of quadrotor helicopter has been highlighted in a lot of papers [1]-[4]. Nevertheless, this kind of helicopter has a high nonlinear and time-varying behavior and it is constantly affected by aerodynamic disturbances. In addition, helicopters are usually models subject to unmodelled dynamics and parametric uncertainties. Therefore, it is a difficult work to model the system and design related autonomic flight controller. In order to achieve good performance in autonomous flight with high robustness with respect to external disturbances, some advanced nonlinear control methodologies have been applied extensively to the control of quadrotor, such as Feedback linearization [5], [6]and backstepping [7], [8]. Although feedback linearization techniques are widely used in the flight control law design, however, this method relies on a known model of the helicopter with precise parameters. Besides, all of the nonlinear terms are eliminated with this method, which limits its robustness.

Backstepping is a recursive procedure that interlaces the choice of a Lyapunov function with the design of the feedback control. The advantage of this technique is that it can gain from the stabilizing nonlinear terms rather than eliminating them. Backstepping has been applied to a number of different design tasks [9]-[21]. The authors of [10] proposed the backstepping controllers for the rotational control of the quadrotor nonlinear systems. However, this work decoupled the rotational system into three single-input single-output (SISO) subsystems, and didn't consider the uncertainties. In paper [11], the authors presented an adaptive backstepping controller to estimate and compensate the external disturbances. But also, only the scalar version of backstepping was used and the couple between the three attitude angles was neglected.

In papers [16]-[19] the multi-input multi-output (MIMO) backstepping, also known as block backstepping are investigated and applied. Cao et al. [16] designed an adaptive block backstepping flight controller for a MIMO nonlinear missile system, but this method required the knowledge of the upper bounds of the unknown parameters. Chang [17] proposed a block backstepping controller for the MIMO perturbed systems to achieve asymptotic stability without the knowledge of the upper bounds of perturbations except those of the input uncertainties.

However, the computing expansion problem exists in the backstepping technique. As the order of

the system increases, the implementation of backstepping becomes increasingly complex since that the command derivatives are needed to compute at each step of the design. The authors of [20] proposed the dynamic surface control method to solve the computing expansion problem. In this method, a low pass filter was introduced to cancel the repeated differentiations of the demands of the nonlinearities to avoid the complexity caused by expansion of the differential terms. Farrell et al. [21] addressed a modification that obviates the need to compute analytic derivatives by introducing command filters in the backstepping design.

In the paper, an adaptive block backstepping control strategy with robust function is proposed to the problem of controlling the attitude of a quadrotor helicopter described by the full nonlinear 3-degree-of-freedom dynamics. Comparison to the methodologies mentioned above, this work considers the couples between the attitude angles and the uncertainties, and the nonlinear tracking-differentiator developed in [22] is introduced to simplify the algorithm complexity.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the attitude dynamics of quadrotor are analyzed and the problem is formulated. Then the control architecture is presented in Section 3, including the block backstepping controller, the robust function and the tracking-differentiator. Section 4 represents the stability analysis. The simulation results are presented in Section 5, and then the conclusions are established in Section 6.

2 Quadrotor Dynamics

The main purpose of this paper is to design attitude controller for the quadrotor vehicle, so only the 3-degree-of-freedom attitude model is presented.

2.1 Attitude Kinematics

Given the quadrotor vehicle being a single rigid body, the rotational equations in the fixed body frame which is obtained from the Newton-Euler formalism, can be expressed as (1),

$$\boldsymbol{J}\begin{bmatrix}\dot{p}\\ \dot{q}\\ \dot{r}\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}0 & r & -q\\ -r & 0 & p\\ q & -p & 0\end{bmatrix}\boldsymbol{J}\begin{bmatrix}p\\ q\\ r\end{bmatrix}+\begin{bmatrix}L\\ M\\ N\end{bmatrix} \tag{1}$$

where p,q,r represent the angular velocities in the body fixed frame, L,M,N represent the combined external moments in the body fixed frame, $\boldsymbol{J}\in\mathbf{R}^{3\times3}$ denotes the inertia matrix, the matrix denotes diagonal because of the symmetry of the quadrotor, so $\boldsymbol{J}=\mathrm{diag}(J_x,J_y,J_z)$.

The attitude kinematics equations can be obtained from the rotation relationships between the body fixed frame and the inertial frame as follows,

$$\begin{bmatrix}\dot{\varphi}\\ \dot{\theta}\\ \dot{\psi}\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}1 & \sin\varphi\tan\theta & \cos\varphi\tan\theta\\ 0 & \cos\varphi & \sin\varphi\\ 0 & \sin\varphi\sec\theta & \cos\varphi\sec\theta\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}p\\ q\\ r\end{bmatrix} \tag{2}$$

Here φ,θ,ψ denote the roll angle, pitch angle and yaw angle respectively.

2.2 Quadrotor Aerodynamics

The modeling of aerodynamic for rotor UAV is very difficult, because the realistic aerodynamic of rotor is very complex both in theory and in formulism. Moreover, the rotors of the mini-quadrotor helicopter are small, light and soft, so it is hard to describe the exact aerodynamics parameters of the rotors. Here, some minute moments act on the quadrotor are reduced, the unmodelled items are considered as external disturbance. It is convenience to design the control law, and it could ensure the reality of the system model at the same time by applying this approach.

The main work of aerodynamics modeling is to analyze the external moments applied on the quadrotor. The moments include

$$\begin{cases}L=L_R+L_G+L_D+L_d\\ M=M_R+M_G+M_D+M_d\\ N=N_R+N_G+N_D+N_d\end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where the subscript *R,G, D, d* represent the thrust moments, gyroscopic effects, drag moments and external disturbance moments, respectively.

The moments produced by rotor thrust are

$$\boldsymbol{M}_R=\begin{bmatrix}L_R\\ M_R\\ N_R\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}lk_L(\omega_2^2-\omega_4^2)\\ lk_L\left(\omega_3^2-\omega_1^2\right)\\ k_Q\left(\omega_1^2-\omega_2^2+\omega_3^2-\omega_4^2\right)\end{bmatrix} \tag{4}$$

where k_L represent the lift coefficient which is related to the rotor area, rotor radius and air density, ω_i represent the rotor rotate speed, l represent the vertical distance from motor shaft to the center of mass of the quadrotor, k_Q represent the reaction torque coefficient.

The gyroscopic effect is the additional torque, which is produced by the rotating rotors with high speed, when the quadrotor works, it can avoid pitch

or roll. The gyroscopic effects can be written as follows,

$$\boldsymbol{M}_G = \begin{bmatrix} L_G \\ M_G \\ N_G \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} j_r q \bar{\omega} \\ -j_r p \bar{\omega} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{5}$$

where j_r represents the rotational inertia of rotors, and $\bar{\omega} = (-\omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega_3 + \omega_4)$.

The drag moments are expressed as,

$$\boldsymbol{M}_D = \begin{bmatrix} L_D \\ M_D \\ N_D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k_{Dx} p \\ k_{Dy} q \\ k_{Dz} r \end{bmatrix} \tag{6}$$

where k_{Dx}, k_{Dy} and k_{Dz} are the triaxial air drag coefficients in the body fixed frame.

As the area and rotational inertial of quadrotor researched in the paper are small, and the angular velocities are slow, so the gyroscopic effects and drag moments can be ignored. And then the external moments can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} L = L_R + \Delta_L + L_d \\ M = M_R + \Delta_M + M_d \\ N = N_R + \Delta_N + N_d \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where $\Delta_L = L_G + L_D$, $\Delta_M = M_G + M_D$, $\Delta_N = N_G + N_D$ denote the unmodelled terms which are ignored. From the expression above, the attitude kinematic equations can be written as (8),

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\varphi} = p + (q\sin\varphi + r\cos\varphi)\tan\theta \\ \dot{\theta} = q\cos\varphi - r\sin\varphi \\ \dot{\psi} = (q\sin\varphi + r\cos\varphi)\sec\theta \\ \dot{p} = a_1 qr + b_1 k_L(\omega_2^2 - \omega_4^2) + \Delta_1 \\ \dot{q} = a_2 pr + b_2 k_L(\omega_3^2 - \omega_1^2) + \Delta_2 \\ \dot{r} = a_3 qr + b_3 k_Q(\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2 - \omega_4^2) + \Delta_3 \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} a_1 = \dfrac{J_y - J_z}{J_x} \\ a_2 = \dfrac{J_z - J_x}{J_y} \\ a_3 = \dfrac{J_x - J_y}{J_z} \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{cases} b_1 = \dfrac{l}{J_x} \\ b_2 = \dfrac{l}{J_y} \\ b_3 = \dfrac{1}{J_z} \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_1 = \dfrac{\Delta_L + L_d}{J_x} \\ \Delta_2 = \dfrac{\Delta_M + M_d}{J_y} \\ \Delta_3 = \dfrac{\Delta_N + N_d}{J_z} \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

2.3 State-space Modeling

If we set the parameters as below,

$$\boldsymbol{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi \\ \theta \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} \tag{12}$$

$$\boldsymbol{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \\ r \end{bmatrix} \tag{13}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \begin{bmatrix} k_L(\omega_2^2 - \omega_4^2) \\ k_L\left(\omega_3^2 - \omega_1^2\right) \\ k_Q\left(\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2 - \omega_4^2\right) \end{bmatrix} \tag{14}$$

then, in general form of MIMO nonlinear system state-space model, the equation (8) can be rewritten as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 &= \boldsymbol{f}_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1) + \boldsymbol{b}_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1)\boldsymbol{x}_2 \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2 &= \boldsymbol{f}_2(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2) + \boldsymbol{b}_2(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2)\boldsymbol{u} \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{f}_1 = \boldsymbol{0}_{3\times 3} \tag{16}$$

$$\boldsymbol{b}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sin\varphi\tan\theta & \cos\varphi\tan\theta \\ 0 & \cos\varphi & \sin\varphi \\ 0 & \sin\varphi\sec\theta & \cos\varphi\sec\theta \end{bmatrix} \tag{17}$$

$$\boldsymbol{f}_2 = \boldsymbol{f}_{20} + \Delta\boldsymbol{f}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 qr \\ a_2 pr \\ a_3 pq \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1 \\ \Delta_2 \\ \Delta_3 \end{bmatrix} \tag{18}$$

$$\boldsymbol{b}_2 = \boldsymbol{b}_{20} + \Delta\boldsymbol{b}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \Delta b_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta b_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta b_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (19)$$

here $\boldsymbol{f}_{20}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}_{20}$ represent the nominal system, $\Delta\boldsymbol{f}_2$ denotes the unmodelled terms and external disturbance, $\Delta\boldsymbol{b}_2$ denotes the system parametric perturbations.

3 Attitude Controller Design

3.1 Block Backstepping Control

In order to obtain precise result, we introduce the new two three-dimensional error state vectors $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1, \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_2$ in the analysis, which can be expressed as below,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 &= \boldsymbol{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{x}_{1d} \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_2 &= \boldsymbol{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{x}_{2d} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}_{1d}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_{2d}$ are the reference state vectors, if we substitute the expression (20) into the state-space equation (15), the error dynamic equations can be obtained.

$$\dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}_1 = \boldsymbol{f}_1 + \boldsymbol{b}_1\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{1d} \quad (21)$$

$$\dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}_2 = \boldsymbol{f}_2 + \boldsymbol{b}_2\boldsymbol{u} - \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{2d} \quad (22)$$

When the error equations are obtained, the design of block backstepping controller can be divided into two steps.

Step 1

According to the error subsystem equation (21), the expected virtual control law $\boldsymbol{x}_{2d}$ is defined as

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{2d} = -\boldsymbol{b}_1^{-1}(\boldsymbol{f}_1 - \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{1d} + k_1\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1) \quad (23)$$

where $k_1 > 0$ represents the designed constant. In subsystem (21), we apply the following Lyapunov function,

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1^{\mathrm{T}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 \quad (24)$$

if we take the virtual control variable $\boldsymbol{x}_{2d}$ equation (23) into account, the time derivative of the Lyapunov function can be determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_1 &= \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1^{\mathrm{T}}\dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}_1 = \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{f}_1 + \boldsymbol{b}_1\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{1d}) \\ &= \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1^{\mathrm{T}}\left[\boldsymbol{f}_1 - \boldsymbol{b}_1\boldsymbol{b}_1^{-1}(\boldsymbol{f}_1 - \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{1d} + k_1\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1) - \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{1d}\right] \\ &= -k_1\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1^{\mathrm{T}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 = -k_1\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Hence, from the Lyapunov stability theory, we can find that the subsystem (21) is Lyapunov stable under the condition $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_2 = 0$.

Step 2

The error subsystem equation (22) also can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}}_2 &= \boldsymbol{f}_{20} + \boldsymbol{b}_{20}\boldsymbol{u} - \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{2d} + \Delta\boldsymbol{f}_2 + \Delta\boldsymbol{b}_2\boldsymbol{u} \\ &= \boldsymbol{f}_{20} + \boldsymbol{b}_{20}\boldsymbol{u} - \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{2d} + \boldsymbol{\Delta} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Delta} = \Delta\boldsymbol{f}_2 + \Delta\boldsymbol{b}_2\boldsymbol{u}$ is the additional uncertain term for the generalized uncertainties.

In the subsystem (22), the ideal control law can be expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{u}^* = -\boldsymbol{b}_{20}^{-1}\left[k_2\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_2 + \boldsymbol{f}_{20} + \boldsymbol{b}_1^{\mathrm{T}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 - \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{2d} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}\right] \quad (27)$$

where $k_2 > 0$ is a designed constant. In the Lyapunov function

$$V_2 = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1^{\mathrm{T}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1^{\mathrm{T}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_2 \quad (28)$$

if we take the ideal control law (27) into account, the time derivative of Lyapunov function can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_2 &= \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1^{\mathrm{T}}\left[\boldsymbol{f}_1 + \boldsymbol{b}_1(\boldsymbol{x}_{2d} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_2) - \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{1d}\right] + \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_2^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\boldsymbol{f}_2 + \boldsymbol{b}_2\boldsymbol{u}^* - \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{2d}\right) \\ &= \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1^{\mathrm{T}}\left[\boldsymbol{b}_1\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_2 - k_1\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1\right] + \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_2^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\boldsymbol{f}_2 + \boldsymbol{b}_2\boldsymbol{u}^* - \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{2d}\right) \\ &= -k_1\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1^{\mathrm{T}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 + \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{b}_1\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_2 - k_2\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_2^{\mathrm{T}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_2 - \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_2^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{b}_1^{\mathrm{T}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 \\ &= -k_1\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_1\|^2 - k_2\|\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_2\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Therefore, the whole system (15) will be Lyapunov stable if the control law is satisfied with equation (27).

3.2 Robust Function

From the equation (27), it can be found that there is an unknown uncertain term $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ in the ideal control law, so the control law is unrealistic. In this section, a robust function is proposed in order to avoid the effect of uncertainties.

If uncertainties of the system are bounded and they are also governed by a nonnegative smooth function which is with an unknown constant. We can make the assumption as that there exists an unknown positive constant ρ, there also exists the relationship as (29),

$$\|\boldsymbol{\Delta}\| \le \rho\delta(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2) \quad (29)$$

where $\delta(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2)$ is a known nonnegative smooth function.

The robust function $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is expressed as

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_2\hat{\rho}^2\delta^2 \quad (30)$$

where $\hat{\rho}$ is the estimated value of the unknown constant ρ. The following adaptive law is proposed according to the unknown parameter,

以上内容仅为本文档的试下载部分，为可阅读页数的一半内容。如要下载或阅读全文，请访问：https://d.book118.com/195110122324011043